# UNITED ST

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Cer

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,859	03/24/2004	Hiroshi Kurachi	789_129	5516
25191 BURR & BRO	7590 01/26/2007 WN	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 7068			MERKLING, MATTHEW J	
SYRACUSE, NY 13261-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1709	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Cummon.	10/807,859	KURACHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Matthew J. Merkling	1709				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4</u> is/are objected to.	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the ${ t E}$	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/08/05 and 03/24/04.	6) Other:	asons r ipprovincer				

Application/Control Number: 10/807,859

Art Unit: 1709

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 4 are objected to because of the following informalities: The ratios, as set forth in claims 1 and 4, "30% ≤ (Wc/We) < 70%" and "20% < (La/We) < 50%", respectively, should contain fractions or decimals as opposed to percentages.</li>
 Appropriate correction is required.

## Specification

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The values given to the low end and high end of the ratio Wc/We should be expressed as fractions or decimals as opposed to percentages. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The ratios, as disclosed in the specification, " $30\% \le (Wc/We) < 70\%$ " and "20% < (La/We) < 50%", should contain fractions or decimals as opposed to percentages.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/807,859

Art Unit: 1709

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-3, and 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato (US 6,770,181) in view of Yamada et al. (US 5,288,389).

Regarding claim 1, Kato discloses a gas sensor comprising a sensor element (Fig. 20) having a gas-introducing hole (gas-introducing port, 22) close to an end of said sensor element (col. 8 lines 33-34). Kato discloses said sensor element including a first space (first chamber, 18) for introducing a measurement gas thereinto from said gas introducing hole via a first diffusion rate-determining section (26), a main pumping means (cell, 44) for controlling a partial pressure of oxygen contained in said measurement gas introduced into said first space (col. 10 lines 3-9). Kato discloses a second space (chamber, 20) for introducing said measurement gas thereinto from said first space via a second diffusion rate-determining section (28) (col. 8 lines 36-42). Kato also discloses an 'electric signal-generating converting means' for reducing (i.e. converting) a NOx component contained in said measurement gas (col. 10 lines 46-48) introduced from said second space (20) via a third diffusion rate-determining section (62) and generating an electric signal (lp2) by measuring a pumping current produced by operation of the measuring pumping cell (col. 10 lines 42-45). Said electric signal (lp2) is measured by an ammeter (68)(col. 10 lines 56-58), which corresponds to a NOx concentration in said measurement gas (col. 13 lines 53-55).

Kato discloses all of the claim's limitations as set forth, but the reference does not explicitly disclose the limits of the ratio Wc/We wherein We represents a lateral width of the sensor element end and Wc represents a lateral width of a gas-introducing

Art Unit: 1709

hole. Yamada et al. teaches a sensor element with a width (fig. 1) of the adhered margins of the sensor element, which is a space between an edge of the sensor element and an electrode (14), to be 'a'. Yamada et al. also teaches (figs. 2 & 3) that the width of the electrode (14) is coincident with the width of a measuring gas space (18). Yamada et al. teaches said 'a' (fig. 16) to have a value > 0.7mm (col. 9 lines 28-37) with a width of the sensor element, w (We of above), to be 4.0mm. This will give a measuring gas space width (w-2\*a) to sensor element width (w) ratio ((w-2\*a)/w) of < 2.6mm/4mm or less than 0.65 (65%), which also corresponds to an adhering margins width (2a) to sensor element width (w) of >0.35 (35%). Yamada et al. uses this ratio to improve resistance to thermal shock (col. 9 lines 34-37). Combining with Kato (fig. 19B), where the measuring gas space (18) is the same lateral width as the gasintroducing width (Wc = (w-2\*a)), this will give a Wc/We ratio of < 2.6mm/4mm or less than 0.65 (65%). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize adhering margins (2a) greater than 35% of the total width (w) of the sensor element as taught by Yamada (col. 9 lines 34-37) for the gas sensor of Kato in order to make the sensor more resistant to thermal shocks. The use of >35% adhering margins would result in a gas sensor for Kato having a Wc/We of less than 65%, which would read on the claimed Wc/We condition.

Regarding claim 2, Kato further discloses a gas sensor wherein an electric signal-generating converting means is a measuring pumping means which reduces or decomposes the NO<sub>x</sub> component in a measurement gas introduced from the second space (chamber, 20) by passing the third diffusion rate-determining section (62) which Application/Control Number: 10/807,859

Art Unit: 1709

pumps oxygen produced from reduction/decomposition and detects a current (Ip2) generated by pumping oxygen out (col. 10 lines 46-59).

Regarding claim 3, Kato further discloses gas sensor (Fig. 31) with a signal generating converting means where  $NO_x$  is reduced/decomposed by a third rate determining section (62) and an electromotive force (V2) corresponding to the difference in oxygen concentration between the amount of oxygen around the detecting electrode (162) and the oxygen concentration of the atmosphere around the reference electrode (48) (col. 20 lines 31-38).

Regarding claim 6, Kato further illustrates that each of the first diffusion ratedetermining section and the second diffusion rate-determining section (figs. 19A, 19B, 20) is defined by a slit provided in said sensor element (col 17 lines 1-5).

Regarding claim 7, Kato further discloses a gas sensor (fig. 20) including a fourth diffusion rate determining section (126) between gas introducing hole (port, 22) and the first diffusion rate-determining section (26). The space between the gas introducing hole (port, 22) and the diffusion rate-determining section is disclosed as a clogging preventative space (col 19. lines 45-50). Kato also discloses (col. 16 lines 58-67) another space between the fourth diffusion rate determining section (126) and first diffusion rate determining section (28) as a buffering space (22) to help dampen exhaust gas pulsation and limit its effect on the gas sensor.

Regarding claim 8, Kato further illustrates (figs. 19A, 19B, 20) the fourth diffusion rate determining section (126) as being defined by a slit in said sensor element (col. 19 lines 6-7).

Regarding claims 9 and 10, Kato further illustrates (fig. 19B) the clogging preventative space (122), buffering space (124), slit of first diffusion rate-determining section (30, 32), slit of fourth diffusion rate-determining section (128, 130) to be substantially identical with each other, and the lateral widths of gas introducing hole (22) and clogging preventative space to be substantially identical (122).

Regarding claim 11, Kato further discloses (col. 11 lines 9-23) an auxiliary pumping means (cell, fig. 31, (72)) for controlling a partial pressure of oxygen in the measurement gas introduced into sensor space.

6. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Sugiyama et al. (US 6,660,142)

The modified Kato discloses all of the claim's limitations of the gas sensor, but the reference does not explicitly disclose the placement of the heater (fig. 2 (80)) within the sensor element. Sugiyama teaches a sensor element where the distance between the end of the sensor element and the beginning of a heater, Y (La), is a variable that affects the thermal stability of the sensor element as well as the performance of the gas sensor with respect to temperature control of the sensor element (col. 2 lines 27-38). The placement of the heater in the sensor element is not considered to confer patentability to the claims. As the placement of the heater in the sensor element is a variable that can be modified, as is taught by Sugiyama et al. (col.1 lines 44-54), to alter the thermal stability and performance of the sensor element, the placement would have been considered a result effective variable by one having ordinary skill in the art at the

Art Unit: 1709

time the invention was made. As such, without showing unexpected results, the claimed placement of said heater cannot be considered critical. Accordingly, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have optimized, by routine experimentation, the placement of the heater in the modified Kato to obtain the desired thermal stability and functionality of the sensor element (In re Boesch, 617 F. 2d. 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980)). Since it has been held that where general conditions of the claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art (In re Aller, 105 USPQ 223).

Page 7

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Merkling whose telephone number is (571) 272-9813. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30-4:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexa D. Neckel can be reached on (571) 272-9827. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,859 Page 8

Art Unit: 1709

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MJM

LEXA DOROSHENK NECKEL PRIMARY EXAMINER

SPE 1709 - Patent Academy